



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/804,269 03/13/2001		03/13/2001	Isao Suetake	1095.1169	9104	
21171	7590	02/14/2006			EXAMINER	
STAAS &	HALSEY	Y LLP	CHAMPAGNE, DONALD			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW	YORK A'	VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING		•	3622			
				DATE MAN ED. 02/14/2004	,	

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		1						
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/804,26	9	SUETAKE, ISAO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	<u> </u>	Art Unit				
	·		Champagne	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. s period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no eve bly within the statu will apply and will e, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 N	Vovember 20	005					
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is no						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,4,6,8 and 10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4,6,8 and 10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)🖂	I0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen			_					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date)		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 14 November 2005 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed with an amendment on 14 November 2005 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the following new basis of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. <u>Claim 1</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. At line 12, "the commodity sale promotion apparatus" lacks antecedent basis. This rejection can be overcome by replacing "apparatus" with "system".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/804,269

Art Unit: 3622

Page 3

- 6. Claims 1, 4, 6, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogasawara (US006123259A) in view of Vela et al. (US pat. 4,882,724).
- 7. Ogasawara teaches a commodity sales promotion system, apparatus and method, and a recording medium, the system comprising: a customer IC card non-contact information transfer medium (col. 6 lines 24-25) in which history of purchased commodities by a user is stored (col. 11 lines 1-2 and Fig 2); and a personal shopping terminal 5 commodity sales promotion apparatus comprising non-contact information reading means (IC card interface unit 60, col. 12-15 for reading the history of commodities stored in the customer IC card noncontact information transfer medium (col. 12 lines 57-61) in a non-contact fashion when the customer IC card non-contact information transfer medium reaches in a predefined region (shopping history storage area 74, col. 11 lines 1-2), and commodity advertisements output means (display 10, col. 4 lines 55-56) for outputting commodity advertisements (a recommended replenishment item list, col. 3 lines 15-24) based on the history of purchased commodities read by the non-contact information reading means and preference (a predetermined purchase interval period) capable of being set by an administrator of the commodity sale promotion apparatus/system, wherein the commodity advertisements output means/display 10 outputs the commodity advertisements by video means, and the history of purchased commodities is information written in the non-contact information transfer medium/customer IC card in non-contact fashion.
- 8. Ogasawara does not teach that the commodity advertisements output means outputs the commodity advertisements by <u>audio</u>-video means. <u>Vela et al. teaches</u> that the commodity advertisements output means outputs the commodity advertisements by audio-video means (col. 2 lines 34-46). <u>Because Ogasawara teaches</u> that the purpose of the display is to catch the customer's attention (col. 1 lines 41-45), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add the teachings of Vela et al. to those of Ogasawara.

Double Patenting

9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29

Application/Control Number: 09/804,269

Art Unit: 3622

USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 4

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

10. Claims 1, 4, 6, 8 and 10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6, 16 and 29 of Ogasawara (US006123259A) in view of Vela et al. (US pat. 4,882,724). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each of the instant claims is a combination and simplification of the three reference claims. Vela et al. adds an obvious feature as explained in para. 8 above.

Conclusion

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 571-272-6717. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 5 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at donald.champagne@uspto.gov, and informal fax communications (i.e., communications not to be made of record) may be sent directly to the examiner at 571-273-6717.
- 12. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on 571-272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Art Unit: 3622

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

14. ABANDONMENT – If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant's intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their registration information including telephone number at the Office's web site, www.uspto.gov. At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622 PRIMARY EXAMINE

Donald L. Champagne

4 February 2006